

## Other Machine Learning Methods

### Bacon

Planet	1 Length of day	2 Length of year	3 Distance from sun	4 Diameter	5 Mass	6 Number of moons
<b>Mercury</b>	<b>58.00</b>	<b>0.24</b>	<b>0.39</b>	<b>0.38</b>	<b>0.05</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>Venus</b>	<b>244.00</b>	<b>0.62</b>	<b>0.72</b>	<b>0.95</b>	<b>0.82</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>Earth</b>	<b>1.00</b>	<b>1.00</b>	<b>1.00</b>	<b>1.00</b>	<b>1.00</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>Mars</b>	<b>1.03</b>	<b>1.88</b>	<b>1.52</b>	<b>0.53</b>	<b>0.11</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>Jupiter</b>	<b>0.41</b>	<b>11.86</b>	<b>5.20</b>	<b>11.19</b>	<b>318.35</b>	<b>16</b>
<b>Saturn</b>	<b>0.43</b>	<b>29.46</b>	<b>9.54</b>	<b>9.41</b>	<b>95.30</b>	<b>15</b>
<b>Uranus</b>	<b>0.67</b>	<b>84.01</b>	<b>19.19</b>	<b>4.06</b>	<b>14.60</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>Neptune</b>	<b>0.75</b>	<b>164.80</b>	<b>30.07</b>	<b>3.88</b>	<b>17.30</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>Pluto</b>	<b>6.38</b>	<b>248.40</b>	<b>39.52</b>	<b>0.24</b>	<b>0.08</b>	<b>1</b>

- Try random mathematical operations until you find a correlation  
Almost exact equality is an easy one to detect
- Examples  
Venus:  $Y=0.62$ ;  $D=0.72$ ;  $Y^2=0.3844$ ;  $D^3=0.3732$ ;  $Y^2/D^3=1.03$   
Mars:  $Y=1.88$ ;  $D=1.52$ ;  $Y^2=3.5344$ ;  $D^3=3.5118$ ;  $Y^2/D^3=1.01$
- Bacon rediscovered Kepler's laws of planetary motion

### Inductive learning

- Like decision tree learning, from specific facts induce general rules
- But here facts are logical predicates  
and rules are logical formulæ that are (supposed to be) true
- A bit like Prolog in reverse
- Background  $\wedge$  Hypothesis  $\wedge$  Descriptions  $\models$  Classifications
- Background is the knowledge you already have, could be  $\emptyset$
- Descriptions are the facts the training data is based on
- Classifications is the training examples we are to induce from
- Hypothesis is the thing we're trying to discover - a new rule. Example:

Background =

$\text{mother}(X, Y) \vee \text{father}(X, Y) \Rightarrow \text{parent}(X, Y)$

Descriptions =

$\text{father}(\text{phillip}, \text{charles}), \text{father}(\text{philip}, \text{anne}),$   
 $\text{mother}(\text{elizabethb}, \text{margaret}), \text{mother}(\text{elizabethb}, \text{elizabethw}),$   
 $\text{married}(\text{diana}, \text{charles}), \text{married}(\text{elizabethw}, \text{philip}),$   
 $\text{male}(\text{philip}), \text{male}(\text{charles}), \text{female}(\text{beatrice}), \text{female}(\text{margaret}),$   
and many more, there are 20 people in the example

Classifications =

$\text{grandparent}(\text{elizabethb}, \text{charles}),$   
 $\text{grandparent}(\text{elizabethw}, \text{beatrice}),$   
 $\neg \text{grandparent}(\text{elizabethb}, \text{harry}),$   
 $\neg \text{grandparent}(\text{philip}, \text{elizabethb})$

and some more.

There are 400 facts like this, but we don't know them all

Hypothesis = the desired general rule for  $\text{grandparent}(X, Y)$

Top-down method

- Start with the most general possible rule:  
 $\text{true} \Rightarrow \text{grandparent}(X, Y)$   
Everybody is everybody's grandparent
- Add conditions, one-by-one, to the left
- There are a lot we could try:  
 $\text{father}(X, Y) \Rightarrow \text{grandparent}(X, Y)$   
that gets many many things wrong  
 $\text{parent}(X, Z) \Rightarrow \text{grandparent}(X, Y)$   
much better, so we'll keep this one
- And carry on like that, adding extra conditions
- Maybe you'll try  $\text{parent}(Z, Y)$  at some point, giving  
 $\text{parent}(Z, Y) \wedge \text{parent}(X, Z) \Rightarrow \text{grandparent}(X, Y)$   
which will work nicely, so you'll stop
- Of course, it gets much more complicated than that  
Some rules don't just have conjunctions as their conditions