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ELLIOTT – NRDC 401 - SYSTEMS ARCHITECTURE

(Notes provided by Peter Holland)

Design Philosophy
The Elliott-NRDC 401 computer was designed as a small sized machine using serial logic circuits adequate for testing a number of new techniques. These were:

1. The use of packaged units for all logical functions.
2. The use of short nickel delay lines as single-word stores.
3. The use of a magnetic disc as a main store, with a capacity only sufficient to provide a general-purpose computer. (This was later to be replaced by a magnetic drum.)
4. The use of a construction giving transportability and as much reliability as possible at a reasonable cost.

Main components
The machine is a serial computer with a word length of 34 bits, 32 bits being accessible to the programmer, followed by 2 gap digits. The logic circuits are synchronous with the digit timing derived from a clock track on the disc or drum whose speed is electronically stabilized. In addition to the clock track, there is an address track identifying the words of program and data stored and which is also used for timing multi-word length instructions.

The computer consists of a 6-cabinet unit holding the electronic packages for the main machine, together with the power supplies and main memory. There is a Control Console on which are mounted the input and output devices and a Monitor Console housing CRT monitoring equipment and the hand keys for manual input.

Control Unit. This includes logic for generating timing waveforms and contains the Order Register allowing for the decoding of the current instruction and the controlling of the information flow from register to register and to and from the main magnetic store.

Working Store and Arithmetic Unit. This comprises 5 nickel delay lines, called R1 to R5, each storing a single word. R1 is the accumulator and is attached to the Arithmetic Unit which carries out all the basic arithmetic operations of the machine. R1 can be coupled to R2 to form a double-length register, used for double-length shifts and in multiplication for the double-length product, register R3 holding the multiplier. R3, R4 and R5 can also be used to modify the next instruction. Making use of a later improved design of delay line, registers R3, R4 and R5 were duplicated and made available to the programmer by a suitable modification of the order code.

Main Store. The original disc store was replaced by a magnetically coated drum early on in the life time of the 401, and the original 14 tracks (plus the clock and address tracks) were increased to 23, each track holding 128 words. Of these tracks only 8 are available at any one time. Tracks 0 – 6 are fixed tracks and track 7 refers to any of 16 tracks, numbered 0 – 15, the identity of which can be changed by a track 7 switching instruction.

Input and Output Devices. Originally the only input mechanisms were a 5-hole paper tape reader, operated photoelectrically at about 40 characters per second, and a set of 32 key switches on the Monitor Console, and the only output was by electric typewriter operating at 10 characters per second. These were later replaced by a Ferranti paper tape reader for input at 100 characters per second and a Creed punch for paper tape output at 25 characters per second. Later on, in addition, any 32 columns (selected by means of a plugboard) of a set of punched cards could be transferred to the 32 digits of the hand keys and thus read into the machine at 100 cards per minute.

It will be seen from the description given above that during its lifetime the 401 underwent a number of modifications to its original design to increase its performance and ease of use. Unfortunately, most of these changes were not documented in any detail (or at all) and the alternative means of input and output have not survived into its present state.
A schematic diagram of the 401 is shown below.

A COMPUTER IS ONLY AS GOOD AS THE PEOPLE AROUND IT.
THIS MACHINE, THE ELLIOTT N.R.D.C. 401,
OWES MUCH OF ITS SUCCESS AND LONG WORKING LIFE
TO DOUGLAS REES OF THE DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICS,
ROTHAMSTED EXPERIMENTAL STATION
IN WHOSE CHARGE IT WAS FROM
MARCH 1954 TO JULY 1963

The Elliott-NRDC 401 (L) taken on 30th July 1963, at the Agricultural Research Council's establishment at Rothamsted, Herts. (c.1965), (photo supplied by Alan Martin).

Douglas Rees with the 401 at Rothamsted, c.1955

The operating control panel of the 401 (L), and the stand for the output typewriter (R). These can both also be seen in the black and white photo above, showing Doug Rees. Both photos were taken at Blythe House, Science Museum annex, London, 2004.
Elliott-NRDC 401 - Background and some historical notes

The following is based on an extract from the forthcoming book 'Moving Targets' by Simon Lavington, which describes the historic computers developed at Elliott's Borehamwood Laboratory between about 1947 and 1967. Far more detail is included in the book, than is set out here.

Only one 401 computer was ever built. It was an experimental and prototype machine built by Elliotts with considerable support from the National Research Development Corporation (NRDC) who provided much of the funding, and some ideas for the initial design, which was completed by the summer of 1952. The machine was operational by March 1953, and it was almost immediately displayed at the Physical Society's annual exhibition at Imperial College, London, in April, where it performed very well.

NRDC was delighted with the success of the exhibited 401, for it signified that the corporation had now promoted two British computer manufacturing companies in the UK: Ferranti building large computers and Elliotts to build small and medium sized ones.

The 401 was taken back to Elliott's premises in Borehamwood, before being moved to Cambridge University in June 1953, an arrangement made by NRDC, where further research resulted in a programme of hardware improvements being recommended and implemented by (amongst others) Bill Elliott, Harry Carpenter and Chris Strachey. These included provision of a new disc assembly, a number generator, a circuit-board tester and a set of spare circuit boards.

On completion of these improvements to the satisfaction of NRDC, in March 1954 the computer was moved to the Agricultural Research Council's Experimental Station in Rothamsted, Hertfordshire, where it was to stay in use until July 1965. Several design improvements were made to the 401 during its time at Rothamsted.

The presence of a working 401, first at Cambridge and then at Rothamsted, was the signal for several organisations to apply to NRDC for use of time on the machine. In effect, the 401 became a service bureau machine. NRDC encouraged the exploration of new applications, as part of its role in promoting the use of digital computers in the UK, and it helped first-time users map their problems on to the 401’s computational facilities, in some case undertaking the programming for them. Early users of the 401 were not charged for machine time, but by January 1955 a standard charge of £25 per hour was fixed.

The 401’s eventual design and the early experiences of using it, lead to Elliotts' decision to build its successor, a re-engineered version, as a production line computer, the 402, of which some ten were manufactured.

The 401 was removed from Rothamsted to the Science Museum in London, and at the time of writing (May 2006) was in a computer room at the museum's annex at Blythe House, where it is being worked on from time to time by a team from the Computer Conservation Society, who are attempting to make it operational once more.

40 Years of the Elliott 401

(This article is taken from the Computer Resurrection, the Bulletin of the Computer Conservation Society, Issue 6, Summer 1993, ISSN 0958-7403)

The Society held an evening reception in the Fellows Room of the Science Museum on 22 April [1993]. It marked the 40th anniversary of the first public running of the Elliott 401 at the Physical Society Exhibition in 1953.

Various parts of the machine, now restored to pristine condition, were on display. They included parts of the processor cabinet and one of the plug-in boards (an and-gate), but it was the drum, installed in around 1955 to replace the original disc, that attracted the most attention.
A cursory glance immediately showed how different things were in the fifties. The drum surface is exposed to the open air, which would be unthinkable today. A Heath Robinson-ish touch was the clinical thermometer located in a holder beside the drum: this was needed because variations in temperature had a significant effect on head clearances.

The formal proceedings were opened by the Director of the Science Museum, Neil Cossons. He thanked the Society's Working Party for their efforts in restoring the machine, noting "It would be quite impossible for us to do what we are doing without the sort of help you can provide". Dr Cossons also paid tribute to Douglas Rees, the manager of the machine throughout its time at Rothamsted, who was unable to be present at the reception.

William Elliott ran over the history of the 401, from the design process via its appearance at the Physical Society Exhibition "where it worked reliably for a week" to its operational life at first Cambridge and then Rothamsted and finally its retirement to the Science Museum in 1965, after "playing its own funeral march at the retirement ceremony".

Gavin Ross represented Rothamsted, where the 401 spent most of its working life. Rothamsted, he revealed, is the oldest agricultural research station in the world, being well into its second century by the time the 401 arrived, and distinguished as "the birthplace of modern mathematical statistics".

Tony Sale, whose many responsibilities include the role of the Science Museum's Project Manager for the 401 restoration project, observed that the work was "an exercise in information gathering and collecting as much as on the hardware side". He paid tribute to Working Party chairman Chris Burton and also to the Science Museum staff responsible for the conservation work, Micky Box and Helen Kingsley. Roger Johnson concluded the formalities on behalf of the British Computer Society.
ELLIOTT 402 - SYSTEMS ARCHITECTURE

The following 402 notes were written by Tony Limberg (who was employed at Elliotts from 1956 to 1967), and are based on the following Elliott documents which are held in the Science Museum Library in London:-

“Elliott 402, 400 Series, High Speed Digital Computer” – a sales leaflet published by Elliott Brothers (London) Ltd.

“Programming The Elliott 402 Computer”, published by the Computing Machine Division of Elliott Brothers (London) Ltd.
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1. Market

The 402 computer, and later, the 402E and 402F were called scientific computers as distinct from the contemporary 405 which was called a business machine. These distinctions reflected the types of application run on them. Typically, the 402’s were used for solving technical problems involving equations and using relatively small volumes of data, while the 405’s were used for bulk data applications such as payroll and accounts. The 402F differed from the 402E in having floating point hardware. Much of the following is based on a specific in-house bureau, where customers purchased time on the computer.

2. The Physical Machine

The 402 was the last machine from Elliott Brothers (London) Ltd. to have (thermonic) valves as the amplifying devices (excluding the 801 & 802 which still used valves in the trigger drive circuits).

The 402E was housed in five cabinets joined in a row and containing standard GPO racking. (For readers who are not familiar with the GPO (often abbreviated to PO) or General Post Office, at that time, all telephone communications equipment and mail handling were under control of the government run GPO). The 402F required two more cabinets to accommodate the floating point hardware. Each cabinet had a door at the front and back and the end cabinets had end-skins. Thus the whole formed a fairly air-tight assembly so that the airflow could be directed through the racks to effect adequate cooling. Each cabinet had an axial extractor fan at the top and each rear door had a filter assembly at the bottom where fresh air was drawn in.
A control shelf was attached to two of the front cabinets (cf Figure 2.1). At the back of this and to the right were two CRT displays with display clarity controls and rotary switches to allow selection of a test point to be monitored on the display. A speaker was also attached which was coupled to the CPU to give an audible indication of the internal cycling of the machine. This helped the user to know whether the machine was processing, or in standby or dynamically halted. It was sometimes useful for indicating whether the current program was stuck in a loop. Across the two cabinets was a word generator comprising 40 switches and lamps and some control switches for selecting Run and Step modes, (the switches were, again, PO type switches). The rotary switches allowed selection of main points in the computer such as the instruction register (called order register), Accumulator, M register, input, Number Generator, Immediate Access Registers, Multiplier register and Main Store. Also, there was a position called “wander lead”. This was used by engineers in conjunction with a lead accessible through a rear door and which could be attached to any test point by means of a crocodile clip at its end. In addition, there were various waveforms which could be monitored for diagnostic purposes. Other items on the console included a clock, a voltage margin switch, a voltmeter for checking the DC supply levels and a counter.

External to the cabinets, but on-line, were the Ferranti 5-channel paper tape reader and the five channel paper tape punch. Paper tape was prepared by operators in a separate room, called the Data Prep room. Output tapes formatted for printing were also taken to the DP room where they were placed in a “transmitter” connected to a “page printer” for printing. Much of the equipment in that room was supplied by an engineering firm in Croydon called Creed.

Most of the cabinets contained logic housed on “A” type (described later) plug-in units. These units plugged in a GPO rack by means of four eight-pin “Jones” plugs. The lowest plug picked up the power, while the others interconnected the logic. The rightmost cabinet contained the magnetic drum store. Other plug-in units were designated B, C and D type units and held amplifiers or relays and other circuitry required.

2.1 “A” type plug-in units

The A type units were all of similar construction (cf Figures 2.1.1 & 2.1.2) and had a second letter in the type designation which identified the actual circuitry contained in that unit. Each A unit had a strip of angled metal at one end on which were mounted the four Jones plugs in a line. It had a combined bracket and handle made of a single strip of metal bent as shown in the Figure and fastened to each end of the plug strip. Some distance in from the top of the handle was another angled strip fastened
to the sides of the handle and parallel with the plug strip. This strip carried the valve bases for any valves used. A printed circuit board carrying the circuitry was attached between the two angled strips.

Most of the A units contained diodes and valve amplifiers arranged to perform basic logic functions. However, one A unit type held a magnetostrictive wire delay line (normally referred to as a nickel wire delay line or simply, a nickel line, although the material used was always an alloy designed to have the required properties). The nickel line physically was mounted in a channel which ran inside the handle using the full length of the outer metal strip. The delay lines were long enough to carry between 33 and 35 three-microsecond pulses in the form of longitudinal sound waves which were regenerated and cycled continuously until replaced by new data. The sound waves were produced by electrical pulses through a coil causing, via the magnetic properties of the wire, local contraction and expansion of the wire. These movements on reaching the sensing coil, induced a small emf which was amplified and reshaped back in pulse form. When used as a single word store, the length was 34 bits (32 of which were program visible). Other lengths were used (achieved by using different positions of the sensing coil) for shift functions and in multiply and divide functions. The single word units were addressable by program as “Immediate Access Registers”. Also one was used for the M (i.e. multiplicand) Register and another for the Multiplier Register.
2.2 “B” type plug-in units

These were long box shaped units comprising a base section with a hollow space underneath and a box-shaped lid which provided electrical screening. These units often contained high gain amplifiers or various relays. The valve bases were contained in the base section with valves inserted from above. Typically, the amplifiers were for amplifying and shaping signals from the drum read heads or for driving the write heads.

2.3 “C” type Plug-in Units (To be written)

2.4 “D” type Plug-in Units (To be written)

2.5 Magnetic Drum Store

The drum store or Main Store had only fixed read/write heads. It had a clock track, an address track, seven fixed tracks (designated tracks 0 – 6) selected electronically and 16 other tracks of which only one was addressable at a given time. The choice of which track (known as the track seven) was programmable but selected via relays*. Each track was 128 words long. This gave a total storage capacity of 2944 (i.e. \((7 + 16) \times 128\)) words. Each word was 34 bits long (only 32 bits were addressable by program, the other 2 being needed to allow for switching delays etc.). A synchronising pulse, derived from the clock track was used throughout the logic to control all timings. The addresses read from the address track were the definitive address positions of anything stored on the drum on a given track and the programs had to specify the locations of all instructions and data on the main store. The drum rotated at 4600 rpm, giving a bit time of 3µS.

*A relay is an electromagnetic device with contacts which make or break when a current is passed through the coil. They can be used in all kinds of logic configurations and, in particular, they can be used as memory devices. Thus by a simple arrangement of relays, one of the tracks seven read/write heads could be connected to a common amplifier and the contact path remain locked (or remembered) until an instruction was given to connect to a different track seven.
2.6 Ferranti Paper Tape Reader

The tape reader was somewhat faster than the usual Creed type which ran at 10 characters per second. It used an ingenious differential mechanism to drive the tape. Two hollow cylindrical shafts of light metal formed two of the three rotational parts of the differential. The third part was driven at a constant speed by the motor. Both shafts had carefully adjusted, electromagnetically operated brake shoes around them. One shaft engaged with the tape on the other side of which was the pinch roller. To drive the tape, the electrically operated shoes were clamped against the free shaft, thus diverting the drive to the tape. To stop the tape, the other brake was activated while the first was released. This arrangement meant that the energy could be swiftly transferred to either the tape or the free shaft. They were a little tricky to adjust, but allowed the tape to travel at a stop-start speed of 40 cps. The paper tape read had five channels, (i.e. there were five data hole positions across the tape and a smaller “sprocket hole”); a hole represented a binary one and no hole, a binary nought. Sensing was photo-electric and a signal generated from the smaller diameter sprocket hole in each character was used as a strobe for the five channels.

2.7 Paper Tape Punch

The Creed paper tape punch operated at 10 characters per second. It too, produced five-channel tape.

2.8 Power Supply

The machine was powered from a 415-V 3-phase 50 Hz supply via an alternator capable of delivering 6kVA. Various stabilised DC voltages from -150 to 200 volts produced by internal power supplies were distributed to all the plug-in units together with a 6.3V ac heater supply for the valve heaters. The CRT also each needed a local UHT (Ultra High Tension) supply. Margin adjustments of + or − 5% were provided on the dc rails for test purposes. During the scheduled morning routine testing, these were operated while the test programs were running to reveal any problems such as valves whose emission levels were low.

3. Functional Description

In the following text, upper case letters are used to denote the names of fields and registers, while lower case letters are used to denote their contents. Thus, for example, $S$ represents the fields $S$ in an instruction, and $s$ is the content of designated source $S$. $i_2$ and $i_2$ have the corresponding meanings with respect to immediate access register 2. Also where the contents of two registers jointly contain a single double-length number, this conjunction is denoted by $x::y$.

A simplified diagram of the basic 402 is shown at Appendix B, Figure B.3. This is more of a functional diagram than a hardware diagram. However, it does imply how the hardware was organised. The central processor, CPU, could receive data from:

a) Zero source, i.e., a hardwired pattern of binary zero. $(S = 0)$
b) The M-register (normally used as the multiplicand register, but also available as a work register) $(S = 1)$
c) The Word generator $(S = 2, A1 = 0 )$
d) An immediate access register $(S = 2, A1 = 1 \text{ to } 15)$
e) A location on the drum store $(S = 2, A1 > 15)$
f) The paper tape reader or other input device $(S = 3)$
Output could be sent to:

a) Nowhere \( (D = 0) \)  
b) The M-register \( (D = 1) \)  
c) An immediate access register \( (D = 2, A1 = 1 \text{ to } 15) \)  
d) A location on the drum store \( (D = 2, A1 > 15) \)  
e) The paper tape punch \( (D = 3) \)

Instructions were fixed length and instruction sequencing was controlled by addresses within the instructions. Processing was sequential and timed using the address track on the drum. When the address of the next instruction was reached, it took one word time for the instruction to be read and decoded. The next step depended on whether the A1 address contained in the instruction was a drum address or an Immediate Access Register (the address was made up of a track number and location within that track. The first sixteen addresses on track zero were not used as drum addresses; instead, zero was used for the word generator and 1 to 15 were used for immediate access registers \( I_1 \text{ to } I_{15} \)). If the latter, execution would start immediately, otherwise, execution would start when the A1 address was reached. Normally, the programmer would try to make this the next word position, in which case, execution would likewise begin right away; \( A1 \) often referencing a source or destination required in the instruction. For the add, subtract, collate, negate-and-add and replace instructions, execution would complete during that second word time. To achieve this, at the same time as the old output of the accumulator is being copied to the destination (if specified), the source is being combined with it according to the function being performed and the result, simultaneously, written back to the accumulator.

For shift instructions, for each single bit shift, it took one word time. Hence, the value of \( A2 \) minus the location number at which execution started (normally \( A1 \)) gave the number of places to be shifted. Multiplication and division required 32 word-times for complete execution. Figure B.4 shows how multiplication would have been executed (ignoring sign handling). The overall function produced a two-word product \( sm \) shared between \( A \) and \( I_2 \), where the latter was the less significant part and its sign bit was forced to 0; giving 63 bits in all. During the first word-time, as shown in the Figure, \( a \) was copied to the destination if specified and \( s \) to the multiplier register. For the remaining word times, during each word-time, the steps shown were performed as per a classical pencil and paper method. The processing for division was also a pencil and paper method involving subtracting and shifting. However, in this case, \( s \) was added to \( a \) and the sum divided by \( \overline{2} \).

When switching between one track seven and another, the programmer needed to allow a full drum revolution of just over 13 mS and relays could take more than 10 mS to switch. The relays used, must have been very fast.

4. Scheduled Maintenance

(The description below relates to procedures at Elliott's Borehamwood service bureau).

The technician maintaining the machine would have a chart scheduling certain units to be replaced on each given day. He would unplug the scheduled units and replace them with tested versions. He would then press the ON button and wait for the drum speed to come within range of the Foster-Seeley discriminator circuit (indicated by a standby light) before pressing the next button which switched in the circuit, locking the speed to 4,600 rpm within close limits. He would now key in a very simple boot-strap, load the test program tape in the reader and run the machine tests. If all was well, he would hand the machine over to the programmers, and make an entry in the log. Then in the test area, situated in a corner of the bureau, he would test all the valves from the units removed for a minimum acceptable emission level, replacing them if necessary. Each unit was then tested and delay lines were adjusted. These were then placed back in the storage rack ready for re-use.

Other items needing regular inspection, were the filters on the cabinet doors and the oil-level of the drum unit.
Appendix A – Specification

INPUT
1) Word generator keys
2) 5-channel paper tape reader – speed 40 characters/S.

OUTPUT
Paper tape punch – speed 10 characters/S

SPEEDS
Digit time 3 µS
Word time 102 µS (=3 x 34)
Drum speed 4600 rpm
Addition, subtraction etc. - 2 word-times
Multiplication and division – 32 word-times.

WORD SIZE
32 + 2 digits (32 program visible)

STORAGE
Magnetic Drum, capacity, 128 words per track, 23 program addressable tracks
Giving 2944 words minus addresses 0 to 15 which were not used, i.e. 2928 words.
Immediate Access registers 15 X One-word

TAPE PREPARATION
An off-line keyboard and perforator are provided

TESTING FACILITIES
Twin CRT monitors with ability to display contents of registers and other waveforms
Audio monitor
5% dc voltage margin switches
Off-line plug-in unit tester

MAINS ISOLATION
Motor alternator unit provided for installation in separate room

POWER REQUIREMENT
6 kVA, 415 V 3 Phase, 50 Hz

CABINET SIZE
Width 2.67' Depth 2', Height 7'
Appendix B – Instruction Format and Processing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Function</th>
<th>Destination</th>
<th>Control</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>Zero</td>
<td>Nowhere</td>
<td>Nothing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>M Register</td>
<td>Multiply or Divide</td>
<td>M Register</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Store</td>
<td>Left Shift</td>
<td>Store</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Input</td>
<td>Right Shift</td>
<td>Output</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Replace</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>AND</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Subtract</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Negate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure B.1 – Instruction format for 402 and 402E

The numerals all have odd parity. However, the RH four bits have the same numerical value as the numbers they represent. This provides a checking capability on known number strings. Also, it means that one number cannot be changed to another by punching just one hole.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Telecode Character</th>
<th>Letter Shift</th>
<th>Number Shift</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>00000</td>
<td>Blank</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00001</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00010</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00011</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00100</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00101</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00110</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>=</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00111</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01000</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01001</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01010</td>
<td>J</td>
<td>,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01011</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01100</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01101</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01110</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01111</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10000</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10001</td>
<td>Q</td>
<td>(</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10010</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10011</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10100</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10101</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10110</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10111</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11000</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>@</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11001</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11010</td>
<td>Z</td>
<td>£</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11011</td>
<td>Figure Shift</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11100</td>
<td>Space</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11101</td>
<td>Carriage Rtn</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11110</td>
<td>Line Feed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11111</td>
<td>Letter Shift</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure B.2 – 402E Punched Paper Tape Codes
ELLIOOTT 402
Figure B.3 – Simplified System Diagram
Figure B.4 – Simplified Multiplication Flow Diagram
The first Electronic Digital Computer to be exported to Germany from Great Britain.


(The picture can be enlarged to see more detail)
There follows an extract from an Elliott Brothers (London) Limited paper, undated but bearing the reference code L29, and written by Elliott's Computing Machine Division, probably some time in 1954, when negotiations for acquisition of the 403 were in progress, for the opening paragraph mentions the use of magnetic tape for data storage, rather than Elliott's usual magnetic film, and magnetic tape was required by the customer, the Weapons Research Establishment (WRE) in Salisbury, South Australia.

The full version of the paper does not appear on this web site. The parts which have been omitted, define the details of the instruction set as initially conceived, and some of these instructions were changed and new instructions were added, particularly in relation to the handling of magnetic tape. The full instruction set does appear elsewhere on this web site in paper TRD39, which was written about five years later by a member of the WRE staff. There should therefore now be no conflict between the following extract, and the later TRD39; however, if any difference should come to light, details in TRD39 are more likely to be accurate, as represented by the eventual version of the computer in use.

SPECIFICATION OF
THE ELLIOTT 403 ELECTRONIC DIGITAL COMPUTER

The standard Elliott 403 contains two stores known as the high-speed store and the auxiliary store. The machine has been designed to include a magnetic tape store at a later date and the specification below describes the machine with this store as an ancillary and includes a description of the full order codes.

1. BASIC SPECIFICATION OF THE MACHINE

Digit frequency 333 kc/s

Word Length 34 digits, i.e. 102 microseconds.

Order Length 17 digits, i.e. two orders per word.

High-speed Store 512 words, consisting of 127 4-word nickel delay lines and four 1-word nickel delay lines.

Auxiliary Store 16,384 words contained on a magnetic disc rotating at 2,300 rev/min. There are 64 tracks of 256 words, and each quadrant of each track is accessible for reading or writing of 64 words in a transfer.

Ancillary Store Two magnetic tape units operating at 100 in/sec with a stop or start time of the tape transport less than 10 milliseconds and a tape packing density of 100 digits/inch. The tape to be used is 1/4" on the spools recommended by the British Standards Institution Committee. Tape lengths are to be greater than 1500 ft.
Input

(1) Punched 5-hole teleprinter paper tape via a Ferranti Ltd high-speed tape reader.

(2) Magnetic tape via the ancillary store.

Output

(1) Punched 5-hole teleprinter tape via a Creed output perforator.

(2) Magnetic tape via the ancillary store.

Radix Representation and Scaling

Numbers in the machine are radix 2 operating in the range $-2^2 < x < 2$.

2. ORDER CODE

The order code employed in the machine is single address. The least significant digit, i.e. $d_{16}$, of each order denotes whether the order is to be interpreted as an arithmetic or a transfer instruction. If this digit is zero then the order is an arithmetic instruction; if it is unity, the order is a transfer instruction. Since there are two orders in each word the first order (or most significant half of the word) is known as the "even" and the second as the "odd". The details of each type of order are as follows:

2.1 Arithmetic Instructions ($d_0$ most significant digit, $d_{16}$ least significant digit).

The 2 B-line digits specify one of three storage locations (1, 2 and 3) the contents of which are added to the order as it enters the order register.

The 9 digits of the address specify, in general, the location in the high-speed store to which the 5 function digits apply. The other uses of the address digits are described in the order code functions.

The 5 function digits specify the arithmetical or logical operation of the machine as is described in the order code functions. In all cases the result of any arithmetical operation is in the accumulator.

2.2 Transfer orders ($d_0$ most significant digit)

These transfer orders relate to transfers of words between the storage units and also the input and output devices. The four digits $d_1$ to $d_4$ designate the type of transfer which is to take place. The basic transfer unit is 64 word blocks except where otherwise noted.
The transfer orders "read from paper tape" cause the five digits on the teleprinter tape to be added to the specified part of the accumulator and cause the tape to be stepped on by one row. Similarly the "punch" transfers step the tape on by one row.

All the block transfer orders are applicable to all store block units, e.g. any of the eight 64-word blocks of the high-speed store can be transferred to any of the 256 64-word quadrants of the magnetic disc.

For all transfer orders the operation of the machine is autonomous unless another similar transfer order is received by the control unit before the first is completed. Thus it is possible, in general, for arithmetical orders to be obeyed while a transfer operation is being performed. Similarly, a transfer to a magnetic disc sector from a given high-speed store block is possible while a transfer from a magnetic tape unit is being made to another high-speed store block.

**********************************

During investigations to produce the above systems architecture notes, several related articles and sections of books (including photographs) have come to light, which in part touch on the subject of the architecture of the 403. These articles were written with the history of computers being the main context, in some cases specifically in relation to the 403, and in others with the 403 being merely one of several computers discussed.

The articles, or relevant parts thereof, together form quite a good brief history of the 403. Rather than discard all this interesting material, it is reproduced below.
A BRIEF HISTORY OF THE ELLIOTT 403 (WREDAC) COMPUTER

The WREDAC nameplate, which was about 5" in width
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1. Recollections of the Elliott 400 series, by Laurence Clarke.

Nine 402 machines were made, which is not a lot. Almost all were still in service in 1969. During that period there were two other much larger machines made. The first was the 403 which was made for the Long Range Weapon Establishment in Australia. It was for the analysis of missile range status. This machine had pipelining in 1955!

It worked this way. We had four word nickel delay lines as the main store so we were getting somewhere near a good size immediate access store - 512 words - but it wasn't fast enough for what we needed. So orders were extracted in the single word lines (assuming no conditional transfer) and started to be decoded while the previous operation was being carried out.

It had of course a much larger magnetic disc, like the 153, and also magnetic tape units. These were manufactured by Pye to a Cambridge University design developed by Donald Wilkes. The output was offline because there was a tremendous amount of it. The magnetic tape was taken away and fed to Bull line printer, and also to a series of plotters.

I suspect that these were the first plotters to be used for output from a digital computer. They were very crude. They used Mufax weather report receivers, where there was a rotating helix which moved across the paper. An electrical signal was pulsed, and chemically sensitised paper made a mark where there was a pulse and didn't where there wasn't. With a binary disc we knew the position of the helix so we were able to get fairly accurate plotting.

The 403 machine dissipated about 15 Kw. My first task on arriving in Australia to finish the recommissioning was to switch it off, and tell the superintendent that it was staying off until the air conditioning was in proper working order. It seemed likely that the machine would be irrevocably damaged if it carried on in the Australian temperatures that were prevalent at that time.
WREDAC used vacuum tubes, and had nickel wire delay line storage and head-per-track disks.

It was "tested on margins" each morning. That is, the main power supply voltages were adjusted a certain amount each way from nominal (say 10%) and the machine tested to find any components which might be about to fail. [On one occasion] this resulted in half a bucket of vacuum tubes ("valves"). [Ed: Margins testing was probably the recommended procedure, but in Peter Main’s time - see his notes below - this appears not to have been the regular daily practice].

The main "immediate access" memory was electro-acoustic delay lines for bit-serial storage of data. An electrical transducer generated longitudinal mechanical impulses at one end of a long spiral coil of wire held in slit slips of paper. A transducer at the other end was used to detect the arrival of the impulses. Damping material on the tails of the wire past the transducers was used to greatly attenuate any reflections of the pulses at the ends.

Bulk storage was on magnetic disk. Because each track on the disk had its own read and write heads, the principal determinant of access time was rotational latency; quite a fast system but with reliability problems because it did not use floating heads.

The main input was by paper tape reader and the output was by magnetic tape.

Notes by Peter Main:

- **Nickel wire [delay] lines.** The transducer was a small coil about 3 mm diameter by 1 cm long around the wire. Of course this generated a longitudinal field, hence longitudinal waves. The receiving coil was very similar but had a small permanent magnet adjacent, the field of which was modulated by the magnetostriction effect of the waves in the wire, thus inducing a voltage in the receiving coil. On the whole the nickel wire lines were quite reliable and worked well for their vintage.

- **The WREDAC disk.** It had a serious problem: unlike all rotating magnetic memories since, it had fixed (not flying) heads. They had to be adjusted to something like one or two thousandths of an inch off the disk surface. Of course this was almost impossible to maintain under thermal variations, so we were forever adjusting the head clearances. Some tracks had gone to negative clearance (now called a head crash!) and were useless. It was a proper beast ...

- **The initial orders.** These were a primitive but quite clever relocating loader, resident on one of the few tracks of the disk that was sufficiently reliable. It had to be re-entered at the console, word by word in absolute binary, if the disk track failed (which did happen once in a while, but thankfully not often).

- **Hardware diagnosis.** There were virtually no hardware diagnostic programs - most faults rendered the computer completely inoperable and had to be located the hard way, using a one- or two-instruction loop entered at the console, then scoping the various waveforms.

- **Testing on margins.** The facility existed to vary the supply voltages for margins testing, but we had great difficulty getting the machine to work at all on margins (more than one simultaneous fault would usually arise, making diagnosis very difficult), and the practice was little used while I was there. Most valve replacements arose from testing modules out of the machine, on a module tester (also supplied by Elliotts).

- **Mean time between failures.** A few hours without failure would have been regarded as a fairly good day. Apart from the disk and the input paper tape reader, almost all failures were valve failures, and most of them were due to reduced emission.
The replacement console. The original console provided with the machine was pretty minimal - sufficient to load and run programs, but hopelessly inadequate for any software debugging (or hardware, for that matter). So the decision was made, rather late in the time I was there, to build a more sophisticated console, which was installed in 1958. The functional design was done by Jack Bowie in conjunction with his boss, the head of the Maths Services department, John Allen-Ovenstone. The electronic design and construction was done by another department of WRE known as Lab 11 which did electronic design and instrumentation in general.

I can only tell you what I observed during the commissioning phase of the equipment, shortly before I left WRE. The main feature was a pair of black-and-white TV picture tubes, to display the contents of selected areas of memory. The display consisted of perhaps 32 words (at a guess), each of 34 bits, arranged as lines of zeros and ones on each tube. The interesting feature, from my point of view as an engineer, was that the zeros and ones were generated by "beam-writing", accomplished by magnetic deflection. The electronics in those early days of transistors (which were widely used in the device) to provide magnetic deflection to write 0's and 1's on the TV screen were impressive, though the quality I saw in the first trials was poor. Probably it was later improved during development.

The 34 bit word. [Ed: In the earlier 400 series computers, of the available 34 bits in each word, only 32 were available to the programmer. In the 403, engineering changes were made to allow all 34 bits to be used, so providing a greater range of orders in the instruction set. This benefit was not continued with the later machine, the 405, which first came on to the market about a year later]. I heard (from Ovenstone, I think - both he and Bowie were at Borehamwood for the commissioning of WREDAC) that Elliotts put the two guard bits to good use in the 403. I had not heard that they had any problems with it - certainly there were no problems of that kind with the machine when I knew it [1957-58], but to judge by the heavily marked-up logics we had to work with, there had been teething troubles.

Second, an interesting anecdote. In studying the prints for the logic of the 403 one day, I noticed an odd condition: the machine would always wait at least one word time for a memory access, even when the required word was available immediately. That meant that memory latency varied from 1 to 4 word times, when it should have been only 0 to 3. A simple wiring change rectified the condition, and speeded the machine up by some 15%. The programmers were delighted. In retrospect, it might have been the case that the inefficiency was a left-over result of changes the Elliotts engineers had to make when getting the 34-bit machine working. Just a speculation ...

3. Notes by Don Fenna

Applications. Tracking missiles was our primary business. The films from pairs of kinetheodolites were the prime source. Read by those human computers in semi-automatic readers to produce the frame-by-frame output of the filmed azimuth and elevation plus the measured offset from the central cross hairs of the marker spot on the object, paper tape was the medium of transfer to the electronic computer. Peter Goddard had developed the original programs to get the tracking picture from WREDAC. I took them over in early 1957, writing totally new programs on a vector basis, replete with my new elegant subroutines etc. I can't remember what Peter then applied himself to. John Sanderson and Pat Clarke worked on the performance of specific missiles. John Penny was saddled with the troublesome task of telemetry.

The telemetry data arrived on magnetic tape, for which WREDAC had two pioneer drives [made by Pye of Cambridge in the U.K.] but the arriving data had first to be processed through a big transfer machine. Comprehending telemetry is utterly dependent on the timing, since the different channels of data have no explicit identifiers or even distinguishing features. With that early equipment trouble seemed to be far more common than success, leaving the poor programmer processing everything only to find that there had been a slip in the timing somewhere.

The operators routinely ran the data through the production programs. Programmers did all their own operations for programs in test (and took over the production ones when there was
trouble). Testing a program was very difficult until the cathode ray tube displays were
installed. There were, of course, no error codes printed out or anything like that; the program
just went haywire or into oblivion. For larger programs one would then insert a temporary exit
to see if you reached that point, then adjust it forward or backward according to the evidence,
Simulating the computer in one's head as one tried to see what had gone wrong was an
excellent mind-developer. As the computer often went wrong itself, failure of a program often
lead to an hour or more of study to ascertain just what had gone wrong in the electronics.

In October 1957 the USSR put Sputnik I into orbit. We at WRE were asked if we could track it,
using our kinetheodolites and associated programs. Established to track objects at about 10
km altitude, the instruments were sited about that far apart. For an object in orbit at over 150
km altitude the pairs of sight lines were nearly parallel, but we did our best. With IBCM's in
our impending future, two widely spaced telescopes were already being installed (at
Woomera and near Perth, I believe). Called Baker-Nunn cameras, these were motorized and
could be programmed to stay on a fixed sky object as Earth moved or even to track a moving
object. With precisely timed shutter closures allowing precise measurement of position via
known stars, these gave us much better data for tracking Sputnik. I adapted my programs to
deal with this new situation, with curvature of Earth having to be accommodated for instance.
[It was amusing therefore, when I began teaching cartographic science in 1992, to read this
story in a book, relating the tracking of Sputnik as the opening contribution to the enhanced
accuracy we now have in our knowledge of the planet being mapped.]

Besides programs using doppler techniques for tracking, the key other work that I was
involved with concerned the safety screens for Black Knight, the first ICBM to be fired at
Woomera. I had to develop sets of curves, to be etched on observational windows and radar
plotting paper, such that were the missile to cross any one in the contrary direction it would be
blown up by the Range Safety Officer using his remote trigger. Fortunately none of the dozen
fired erred to such degree from the intended path (and neither did my curves).

John Penny, John Weadon and I also developed a floating-point simulator which, appearing
in 1958, made WREDAC among the earliest to offer such facility.

**Pye magnetic tape drives.** The two tape drives on WREDAC ran with vacuum pockets to
 cushion the braking, but often that feature proved inadequate, snapping the tape when their
servo mechanisms responded inadequately. They were slow by any subsequent standards. I
didn't work much with them, but seem to think they had one bit per frame, dually recorded.
They may have had 100 frames per inch, and did 100 inches per second, but certainly no
more than that.

*Don also comments on the 34-bit word issue, mentioned above:

34-bit word reliability* I'm surprised that Peter Main doesn't see instability of 34 bits a
problem in his time; to my memory it was a frequent problem. Add timing problems from
the disc and we typically were lucky to get 50% functionality. [Ed: John Penny agrees with Don
Fenna on this point, saying: "WREDAC was very unreliable, and 50% up-time in a week was
about the best we could get. I know that Jack Bowie and his assistants had to work
continually to keep it going at all."]
4. Australian Computing, the Second Generation, by Trevor Pearcey

Weapons research, WREDAC, and the Second Computer Conference


By 1957, when the first three academic computing laboratories had been in operation for about one year, several Commonwealth Government departments had developed to a point where high-speed computing was essential to the continuance of their programmes. Foremost among these were the technical departments, particularly the Weapons Research Establishment (WRE), responsible for the Anglo/Australian guided weapons test firing at Woomera and their analysis at Salisbury, South Australia, and the Aeronautical Research Laboratory at Fisherman's Bend, Victoria.

...At WRE, telemetry and ground flight data were being collected faster than they could be handled by conventional means, causing serious delays to the trials programme. Performed by large numbers of people, computation was as slow and expensive as it was labour intensive- so much so that WRE had commenced the design and construction of an improved version of the CSIR Mark I under Major Jacoby.

The computing system finally adopted owed its design to John Allen-Ovenstone. Through his effort and foresight, a scheme was devised for integrated automatic collection and handling of telemetry radio-doppler and radar data collected on magnetic tape in analogue form during flight together with other ground-sited flight data at Woomera. This data was to be converted to digital form at Salisbury, then subjected to calibration corrections and numerical analysis by a central electronic computer system. The final choice for that system was an Elliott 403, somewhat modified to Ovenstone’s specifications.

Called the WREDAC, this 403 was one of a series of commercially produced, vacuum-tube based, stored-program computers which had a magnetostrictive sonic delay store of 512 thirty-four-bit words for the currently executing segment of program and its subject data, and a larger, 16,384-word magnetic disc which ran synchronously with the delay store. The instruction format was of the one-address type similar to that of the EDSAC. Program and some of the data were input from five-channel paper tape while range data were input digitally from a quarter-inch wide magnetic tape onto which the original analogue data from the range were converted by special input converters*. Output was to paper tape, teleprinter and similar quarter-inch wide magnetic tape. The output on magnetic tape was then passed to an ‘output converter’* which produced final graphical output on modified facsimile printers. This process of data handling set by Ovenstone - magnetic-tape-conversion, computer reduction, magnetic-tape-conversion - survived for the next twenty years, although converters and computers were replaced by solid state electronics and half-inch magnetic tape based systems like IBM 709/1401.

...The WREDAC was publicly exhibited during the second Australian Computer Conference which was held at the Weapons Research Establishment, Salisbury, from 3 to 8 June 1957. Largely instigated by Ovenstone, this ‘Conference on Automatic Computing and Data Processing’, was attended by 150 delegates and produced sixty-three papers of high quality.

* [Comment from Peter Goddard: ‘The output converter was called WREDOC and was put in by Elliotts some time after WREDAC’].
The WREDAC at the Weapons Research Establishment, Salisbury, South Australia. The photo is taken from 'Computing in Australia', and shows the new console, with Patricia Yates operating.
In September 1953 LRWE's [Long Range Weapons Establishment] Data Processing Committee met for the first time, and the next month had an important paper to consider from John Ovenstone, modestly titled 'Notes on data-processing at LRWE' and drawing together many strands in the discussions and planning which had been going on all year. The twenty-one pages of Ovenstone's 'Notes' offered a broad specification for the prospective computer and for the various converters that would take recordings from the telemetry, doppler, radar and missile tracking systems and transform them into the digitised form readable by the machine. In addition, Ovenstone described the output converters which were necessary for the computer to print its results or draw its graphs. Altogether the notes comprised a bold and perceptive plan which was almost wholly realised over the next few years.

In due course a telemetry converter using about 300 valves was built to a design by Barlow [George E Barlow, a Senior Scientific Officer], Leo Cohen of Information Studies Group and Fred Thonemann of Techniques Division, [both thought to be parts of LRWE]. This converter and others produced input for both the first computer, WREDAC, and its successor the IBM 7090. To take the telemetry converter as an example, the process was to play the twenty-four channels of data recorded from the flying missile through the converter at one-tenth of the recorded speed. In the converter the waveform was sampled regularly and a series of digital pulses generated, the number of pulses being proportional to the strength of the sample. A marker signal of a precisely known frequency was recorded on one track so that when it and the telemetry data were compared, errors due to variation in the speed of tape transport could be eliminated. The digital code representing the values of the telemetry voltages in the original recording were transferred to a secondary tape, along with the reference frequency, which also gave a measure of elapsed time. This secondary tape, along with other similar tapes from the doppler and other converters, formed the computer input.

These advances presupposed the replacement of film by magnetic tape for all data recording except the strictly optical. The British distrusted magnetic recording and were loath to abandon the use of film for telemetry. They had a point. The telemetry signals coming from a missile in flight are often noisy and weak. A human reader could learn to discount the spikes of noise on the film record; not so a machine, which was merely counting cycles in the frequency modulated signals and could easily misinterpret the noise spikes. The British also expressed misgivings that the proposed converters and the computer would be too interdependent; that is, the failure of one part of the system would cause delays in the whole. But the Australians were set on the course which would put them well ahead of the British and ahead of the Americans by 1956. They were in a unique position. The British had a bigger labour pool to draw on, and could also get their contractors to tackle some of the data reduction, so they were not so urgently drawn to automating as fast as possible. The Americans, with their myriad contractors and their many ranges, which often had traditional service rivalries behind them, did not have the same incentive to seek standardisation. Australia was suffering from an acute shortage of labour, but it did have only one Range run by one authority, and all three services used it under civilian scientific control. The most compelling argument of all was the prospect of dealing with stupefying masses of data as the trials programs expanded over the next few years to take in the service trials of the first generation of guided weapons. In the years 1955 and 1956 they had the prospect of reading 400,000 points of trajectory, velocity and attitude data, or about 800 points a day. In addition they would be calibrating six million telemetry points. Even at 100 per cent efficiency, this was around 200,000 man-hours of work. It would take up to 200 more Computers* to tackle such a load manually, from a specialised labour market already drained dry. And finally WRE would be processing as much as 600 kilometres of film a year!
The specification in his 'Notes' for an ideal computer were not extremely onerous. The capacity to handle input in large quantities was more important than sheer calculating power. The total storage which he saw as necessary, both internal memory and that on a magnetic drum store, was in modern terminology less than 64 kilobytes. Even so, it was by no means simply a matter of placing the order and taking delivery of a device in a crate. In the mid-1950s computer manufacture was entirely a bespoke trade, and it was impossible to buy one 'off the peg'. When the suppliers spoke of having a computer 'in commercial production' they meant they were making a few, or at most a few dozen, machines; and each one was probably being tailored to the customer's requirements during manufacture.

The specifications were circulated among interested British firms, and Barlow and Ovenstone went to England in May 1954 to spend some six weeks looking at the various machines on offer. Two contenders were the English Electric DEUCE and the Ferranti MARK 1, but Barlow and Ovenstone decided both had insurmountable problems. The prototype DEUCE was barely complete and an unknown quantity; nor could a copy be built and delivered by June 1955. The Ferranti machine's neat appearance concealed the fact that it was not built out of the replaceable plug-in units that were thought essential for easy servicing. Ferranti made a valiant last ditch effort to overcome the servicing objection, but it could do nothing about the technical disadvantage that its machine stored data electrostatically, which raised questions about radio interference when there were large transmitters nearby, as at Salisbury. Eventually the contract for the supply of a 'High Speed Digital Computer No. 403 (and Ancillary Equipment)' went to the London-based firm of Elliott Bros, for a machine at a total quoted cost of £106,625. Elliott's analog computers had a good reputation, and also their 403 used nickel delay lines for the volatile memory, storing 136 pulses in each line, and so were less susceptible to electrical interference. But Elliott's secured the contract for WREDAC (originally called 'Cobber', for no obvious reason) mostly because of their low quoted price. This was divided equally between the partners under the prevailing Sandys Agreement.

Even at the time there were those who had misgivings about this decision. The knowledgeable Jacoby* had strongly urged the purchase of an American computer - specifically, an IBM 701 - as early as 1954, before the final commitment to WREDAC had been made. Two colleagues who accompanied him to a demonstration of the 701 in New York still recall him saying in some excitement, 'This is the machine you should be buying, not wasting your time on WREDAC. This is the machine. I know you're not listening; I know all that, but you're crazy.' Probably Jacoby was correct. If a decision to purchase from IBM had been made when WREDAC was ordered, then the outcome, taking bureaucratic delays into account, would probably have been the installation of an IBM 704 capable of running the Fortran language and doing all of WRE's work for years to come. Dollar currency shortages and the political realities

---

*"Computers" - with a capital 'C' - were Assistant (female) Computing Grade 1, aged 16 upwards with say good GCE-equivalent qualifications in mathematics, (or after university study in mathematics and physics and promotion, Assistant (female) Computing Grade 2), who were paid adult wages to attract them and compensate for the need to travel 15 miles out of Adelaide to Salisbury. There were about 30 or so, and these Computers did the film reading, worked desk calculators (Marchant or Friden) and some also operated the mainframe computer. (The two Computer grades are referred to by Morton later in this book extract as Assistant Computer and Senior Computer).
were against any such decision as the British fought to retain and extend their markets in the post-war period.

*[Major Jacoby was the officer in charge of the Mathematics Services Section in 1950, and after two or three years, he was appointed to the Washington Joint Chiefs of Staff Office. It is thought that he was on loan from the Australian Army signal people.]*

On 29 September 1954 the first manufacturing progress meeting for WREDAC was held at Elliott's headquarters amid a flurry of telexes querying and replying to minor details. Many things had to be settled, including questions of interfacing. Many conventions, even for instance the very basic one as to whether the pulse which represented a ‘1’ in binary arithmetic should be positive or negative in voltage, were not then standardised. Another example was Ovenstone's suggestion of a teleprinter code in which all the numerals were represented by using only two of the five possible holes in the paper input tape. This was a good idea, because it allowed a fast visual check of the complete tape - any code with more or less than two holes could not be a number - but it was not the code Elliott's normally used. Before a data converter being built in Australia could feed into the input terminals of a computer being built in England, all these points and many more had to be resolved.

Barlow and Ovenstone had discussed many of these matters with Andrew St Johnston and Dr Lawrence Ross of Elliott Bros during their visit, but inevitably some details had been omitted or misunderstood and many letters and telexes passed to and fro clearing up the misunderstandings. But the details which occupied the first progress meeting were more pedestrian in nature. They concerned the number of metal cabinets and their arrangement, the provision of cooling air, the determination of a work schedule to meet the tight installation date of July 1955, packing and shipping the equipment and its cost and the manufacture of the tape transport mechanisms which would be necessary to read Range data from the as yet unbuilt converters into the new computer.

The bulky WREDAC and its spares eventually arrived in Adelaide by sea in September 1955, anxiously attended by three Elliott technicians, who had come to supervise its installation and put it through the acceptance trials. At this time it still lacked its output converter and printer. (WREDAC did not run a printer directly. It produced an output tape which allowed it to get on with more work while the slow output devices then available printed or plotted the data.) The complete equipment filled thirty-six tall racks, half of them holding the computer itself and half the converters for telemetry, doppler and radar data. Ranged nearby were two Telereaders, two Boscars*, an Oscar* and a kine reader. The film readers originally produced punched cards for feeding into the Holleriths, but WREDAC could not read cards, only paper tape. Attached to the film readers, therefore, were Creed teleprinters and tape perforators to produce the input tape that WREDAC could handle.

* [LRWE acquired film reading equipment that generated numeric output on punched cards in 1954 for further calculation on BTM tabulators and multipliers etc. There were three types of unit acquired. One came from Telecomputing Corp Burbank, which digitised crosshair movement on a screen enlarging 35mm film, and had been designed for use by the US oil industry. Another was the Benson-Lehner Oscar, which was designed to digitise unmagified paper oscilloraph recordings and was not much used. The Boscar (Boresight camera) reading was used for film frame by frame enlargement and digitising the displacement of a point on the missile image (X&Y) from the centre of the graticule from the kine theodolite of which we knew the Azimuth and elevation. With two or more cameras one could calculate XYZ at up to 20 times per second with Contraves instruments. 35 mm film again].

The computer's permanent disk memory was a physically impressive feature. It was nothing like the small sealed hard disk units of the 1980s which read and write megabytes of data with minimal maintenance. This disk was a platter, 46 centimetres in diameter, sitting inside a large cabinet with double doors. Above it was a mass of eighty individually adjustable pick-up heads, each of which had to be set just one-fiftieth of a millimetre above the surface - too close and the disk would be scratched; too distant and the head did not receive enough signal. (If the disk did get scratched, though, a head could simply be moved across the disk to find an undamaged spot.) Even while the disk was stationary, a constant flow of warm oil bathed the bearings to avoid any rough starting which might cause the heads to score the delicate oxide
surface. Like all computers of its day WREDAC was far from being, as the jargon has
it, 'user friendly'. Quite the reverse. It needed constant attention from operators who
knew exactly how to get the results they wanted, as well as a team of maintenance
staff to fix it each lime an error occurred in the calculation sequence.

A year passed before WREDAC ran properly. Despite the installation team leader's
confident assertion on his return to England in November 1955 that 'when he left the
actual commissioning was effectively over', six more months passed before the two
remaining Elliott technicians at Salisbury even attempted to run the acceptance tests.
There were many problems. Not for the first or last time, equipment designed in
temperate climates worked less than successfully in Australia. Ambient temperatures
in the mid-30s that summer played havoc with the electronic circuitry, which itself
produced many kilowatts of waste heat to be disposed of by uncertain air-
conditioning. Some problems certainly arose from faulty design. In the circuitry which
fed the delay line memory, the valves specified, 12AT7 double triodes, were being
driven much too close to their performance limits. After a few hundred hours the
normal slight decline in the electron emissivity of their cathodes, which would have
been inconsequential in any other application, started to corrupt the data passing
through them. A good quarter of the 500 valves in question had been replaced by mid-
February. Ovenstone wanted a better valve with a firm guarantee of a two-year life,
but he never got it. The life of a valve in the demanding memory driver circuits was
always relatively short, although eventually the maintenance engineers worked their
way round the difficulty by screening the performance of new ones and putting only
the 'pedigree' valves in the most exigent positions.

In the time-honoured way, supplier and customer tended to blame each other for
WREDAC's teething troubles. WRE criticised the poor standard of workmanship, while
Elliott accused the Salisbury engineers of fiddling with precision equipment without
knowing what they were doing. In a generous move Elliott eventually replaced the
entire disk unit at no charge, but this cut no ice at WRE, where the firm's performance
in rectifying the faults was later judged to have been 'something less than
spectacular'. A more balanced judgment should stress that everyone at the time was
down near the bottom of the learning curve when it came to computers. Elliott's
Computer Division was desperately overloaded with orders. While trying to fill the
order from WRE it was simultaneously building a similar machine for the Pascal
institute in Paris and another for exhibition. The Division moved to a new site in the
middle of the Australian job, and the whole firm was short of trained and skilled staff.
It was only in June 1955 that the company, responding to strong pressure from WRE
to meet its schedule, first resorted to shift work. Even then the testing was cut short,
but WRE mistakenly thought this was better done at Salisbury in any case.

At the end of the December 1956 quarter Ovenstone said optimistically: 'For the first
time since the Range commenced operation there was no backlog of trial calculation
over the Christmas period and, despite the shortage of skilled programming and
maintenance staff, a reasonable service to the establishment was maintained.'

Ovenstone predicted that WREDAC would soon be working for eight hours of a ten-
hour day. Although this was not an unreasonable assumption judging by other
computer users' experience, it proved to be far too optimistic because for a time
WREDAC got worse, not better. One problem was the change-over from punched
cards to the paper tape handled by WREDAC. American computers and data--
processing machines at the time used punched cards, because they had evolved from
business machines which also used them. British computers stored their data on
cheap paper tape, because they had come out of university laboratories which were
used to working with readily available telegraphic punched tape machines. The
American film readers originally produced punched cards, but had been modified in
Australia to use tape when WREDAC was introduced. This was a handicap, because
the operators found that an error which previously had been easy to correct by
punching a new card and throwing away the old one was much more troublesome
when a whole new tape had to be punched instead. For this and other reasons
WREDAC had a rather feeble performance even compared to much slower machines.

Just before the computer symposium at WRE in 1957 H. L. Barman of Rolls-Royce
wrote to Director H. L. Brown asking for details of WREDAC's performance so that he
could compare them with his firm’s IBM 650, a machine with a drum memory that was much slower than WREDAC. He mentioned that they were getting 134 hours of useful output per week with an hour a day scheduled for maintenance and an average of 34 minutes a week breakdown, which gave them an overall efficiency of 99.6 per cent. The comparison with WREDAC was embarrassing then and would become more so. Even two years later, in 1959, the machine was providing only about 30 hours a week of useful computing time, and half of that was absorbed by program testing and other work.

Eventually WREDAC was made to work with reasonable reliability. Colour-coded cable gradually replaced the tangle of white wiring. Elliott had used single strand wire to connect the plug-in units and when it broke, as it sometimes did, it was almost impossible to find where it was meant to go. WRE staff added extra circuitry and finally put the power supplies out on the veranda of the building - something they had wanted to do from the beginning. This reduced some of the heat load and better air-conditioning coped with the rest. A report published in early 1959 said rather defensively that despite its limited hours WREDAC was processing very much more data than had been handled before its introduction.

This was true: depending on how the calculation was done, up to ten times as many data points were being produced. One reason why WREDAC did not make a better showing was that the demand for computational services had risen so much that it cancelled out the gains. An embattled Maths Services Group were constantly under fire from other Divisions of WRE, particularly Systems Assessment (SAD) and Aerodynamics (AD). In using computers it is very much the case that the appetite grows by what it feeds on. SAD had developed an elaborate technique whereby it took the measurements of the actual flight trials at Woomera of the new guided weapons Red Duster and Red Shoes in digital form, and then had the data converted to analog form to serve as input for their laboratory simulators. This process used up machine time at a fearful rate and was always urgent. The complaint of people working in AD was that their work did not carry the same urgent priority of much of the missile trials work, so that often they found themselves well back in the queue. Maths Services tried to shift the load by pushing the Red Duster data conversion work back on the contractor, Bristol Aeroplane in England, and by analysing only the most critical parts of each trials record. Certainly there was little hope of improving the rate of output. By 1959 the WREDAC staff were already working two or three shifts plus some overtime in an attempt to catch up, but this was producing labour problems. WRE could order its employees to do shift work and, while junior staff were obliged to accept it, the senior staff (who had to be on duty as well, to solve WREDAC’s problems) did not take kindly to being employed, as Ovenstone put it. ‘all night and morning on work of a not very inspiring type’. In 1958 well-trained computer staff were at a premium in Australia, and there were plenty of vacancies for research programmers in Sydney and Melbourne at comparable salaries. Ovenstone himself left to take a senior position with the Department of Defence to establish their data-processing system. A mild state of warfare broke out between the two departments of Supply and Defence as Ovenstone went on to poach as many of the more senior and capable computer scientists as he could. By early 1959 there had been an alarming number of resignations. To stay at WRE meant staying with WREDAC, something that offered little chance of professional advancement given the machine’s obvious limitations. WRE could not abandon the machine so soon after installation, but trying to keep it running was not a prospect to inspire any bright young man with a career to build.

There were problems, too, among the more junior staff, most of whom were women. For them there were only two grades, Assistant Computer or Senior Computer, and although the wages were good for juniors there was no career structure for those who were looking for further promotion. Not that WRE could offer much inducement for its Computers to see their work as a career. Public Service rules made resignation mandatory on marriage, and although re-employment as a temporary worker was possible or even probable afterwards, it was not guaranteed. The private firms on the Salisbury site placed no barriers in the way of married women and were willing to pay more than the government rate for a skilled computing assistant. Such policies cost WRE dearly in checking and rereading faulty work. One estimate is that every kinetheodolite point was calculated twice and every piece of telemetry data perhaps
three times, partly from the need to correct processing mistakes by inexperienced staff working under pressure.

In November 1960 Trials Superintendent J. Clegg was able to report at last that the reliability of WREDAC had risen above the 80 per cent mark. By then, though, the statistic was of little moment. WREDAC was thoroughly obsolete and WRE had ordered its successor.

WREDAC REPLACED

It had become obvious by mid-1959 that WRE could not delay much longer in ordering a replacement, even though WREDAC had given less than four years’ service and, with its accompanying output converters, printers, plotters and additional tape machines, had cost the partners about £300,000. The final impetus for a new purchase came from the forecasts of the work that would result once the continent-spanning flights began in 1960 of the intermediate range ballistic missile, Blue Streak. These trials were expected to continue for years on a grand scale. To give only one example of the work they would generate, the powerful ballistic cameras which were to record the payload’s re-entry into the atmosphere had to be calibrated regularly against a star background, much as the ground speed cameras had been calibrated in the early days of the bombing range. There were to be up to fifty of these cameras. Checking just one ballistic camera would take three hours of WREDAC computing time, provided there were no data or machine faults. This never happened: WREDAC’s ‘mean free error path’ lasted fifteen minutes. Even allowing for the spasmodic nature of trials work, the immediate future called for a computer with twenty times WREDAC’s capacity.

In March 1959 the difficult decision on the type of machine to be purchased was put in the hands of the Data Processing Committee. Barlow, who had become the first civilian to fill the position of Defence R&D Representative in the United States, forwarded details of some suitable American machines. He enclosed brochures for the Univac Scientific, the Honeywell 800, the Philco Transac 2000 and the new transistorised IBM 7090, the first model of which was due to be delivered to the Vanguard Computing Center early in 1960. The 7090 cost over $US3 million, but IBM already had many government orders for it. One group alone had ordered seven.

Certainly there were many different opinions to canvass, since everyone was most eager to learn from experience. Bill Watson, Acting Superintendent SAD, spoke for everyone when he insisted that ‘the opinion of several experts is needed - if only to ensure we do not buy a “bunny”’. Watson emphasised the need to bear in mind the needs of users other than the trials staff. Digital simulations of missile behaviour, which would eventually render most analog techniques obsolete, were then on the horizon. Buying the right digital machine could mean that the services would need only ‘one digital machine which could do sums on all weapons, instead of having an analog machine for each weapon.’ Watson also wondered whether some of the strain could be taken off WREDAC. The calibration of telemetry, for instance, was done on the computer, which for Watson was like ‘using a stone crusher to break a peanut.’ Perhaps the replacement of WREDAC could be deferred by purchasing some specialised equipment.

This suggestion gained no support, but one thing which did unite all opinion at WRE was that the Establishment should give up thermionic valves and go for one of the latest generation of solid-state machines using individual transistors. There was only one British transistorised computer in the offing, the EMIDEC 2400. It was not a strong contender. It had a comparatively small memory and was only about eight times as fast as WREDAC. It used an inordinate 35 kilowatts of power and hence would have needed almost twice as much cooling as WREDAC. Worst of all, from the WRE point of view, it was only a prototype which was unlikely to be finished before 1962. The political pressure to buy British had abated since WREDAC had been bought, for by this date even MoS establishments like Aldermaston had either bought or were looking at American computers. Of the two American machines then available that met WRE requirements, the IBM 7090 and the Philco Transac 2000, the former
was judged the better, especially because a large library of programs was available for it. Experience with the bespoke WREDAC had shown the high cost of having to write software for oneself for every new problem. The value of having access to a large library of programs was obvious and a purchase of the IBM 7090 would give that, since the introduction of the Fortran language allowed program code written for earlier valve machines to be recompiled and run on the transistor one.

At the Board of Management meeting of 8 March 1960 the vote was to hire the 7090 for $US330,000 a year with an option to buy after the first year. The order went straight to IBM just five weeks before Blue Streak was cancelled. Two WRE scientists, Peter Goddard and Barry McDowall, went to the States to learn how to program the 7090. The first reports were not too favourable, but IBM, unlike Elliott a few years before, had the production capacity and the engineers to remove the gremlins intrinsic to any new design. The 7090 was delivered to WRE at the end of 1960 and officially handed over to the Minister for Supply, Alan Hulme on 13 February 1961.

Some fifty people applied to attend the Fortran course held before the new machine was installed. WRE also joined SHARE, one of the first user groups established by aerospace programmers in California, and started to receive programs from many other US sources. This presaged a change in the use of the computer. Formerly programming had been the preserve of a few initiates who could cope with WREDAC's peculiarities, and they tended to have a rather proprietorial attitude to it. R. G. Keats of Systems Assessment Division (SAD) was one of those who argued for more general access to the new machine. As mentioned earlier, SAD had been a persistent critic of Maths Services Group, and SAD could not be ignored as its modelling work was of growing significance in the economical production of new weapons systems. After some resistance Keats got his way and WRE started to allow its individual engineers to write the code for their own task and submit it to the data-processing office for running. SAD benefited greatly from the change, becoming a major user of the new machine to the extent of some 400 hours a month of central processor time. Maths Services still provided expert advice and assistance; it did not attempt to provide a general programming service. Other groups were happy to leave the entire business of writing programs and running them to the data-processing department.

The method of handling the Range data did not change much with the new machine but followed the pattern established in the early years of Woomera. Work was still handled in batches as it had been with WREDAC but with greater efficiency and smoothness. The replacement first of paper tape, and then punched cards, by magnetic tape was the chief cause. Film and magnetic tapes from Woomera passed through a records office whence they were sent to various sections, one for each project. There, under the direction of a mathematician, a team of Computers would assess the quality of the films and tapes and decide which parts were to be processed. The kinetheodolite films were read on the Boscars and converted to punched cards, then the data from one kinetheodolite was merged with that from another and differenced in a 407 Accounting machine. The results were tabulated for checking and, when all visible errors had been eliminated, the cards were sent to the computer with a request for a trajectory calculation. Other film records were reduced on a Telereader, a general purpose film reader which could also measure angles.

These machines and the new computer allowed a great expansion in dataprocessing capability, as the following table shows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comparative Loads and Costs</th>
<th>Trajectory points calculated per day</th>
<th>Cost of each calculated point</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1955-56 (pre-WREDAC)</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>$4.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1956-57 (WREDAC)</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>50c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1963-64 (IBM 7090)</td>
<td>3000</td>
<td>10c</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
To make the whole system as reliable as possible throughout, it was advantageous to upgrade the data converters as soon as possible. Computer Electronics (CE) Group, then under the direction of Barlow who had returned from his American posting, was given the job of rebuilding the converters and making them compatible with new telemetry systems then being introduced. The circuitry was transistorised and built on small printed circuit boards. Some of the boards in the new doppler converter, the last completed, used resistor/transistor logic microcircuits which were the forerunners of integrated circuits and were then becoming just cheap enough to compete with discrete transistor circuits. CE Group also revamped the sturdy Ampex FR400 tape recorders which had begun their life attached to WREDAC, by fitting a vacuum tape chamber and new digital electronics to increase their data capacity from 200 bits/inch to 600 bits/inch. It is a tribute to the workmanship of both the tape machines and the converters that they were still attached to the computer system in 1986. But by then little of the data they converted was coming from Woomera.

WREDAC continued to run in parallel with the IBM 7090 for a time, but as its programs were converted to run on the newer machine it became more and more redundant. Its attendant maintenance staff were expensive, and at the end of 1962 Barlow recommended that WREDAC should be disposed of. He suggested that rather than being broken up it might be offered free to the South Australian Institute of Technology. Others more cynical or more realistic correctly averred that no one could afford to take on WREDAC even as a gift. Its ultimate fate is hazy. A few parts did go back to Britain as spares, but most of it probably ended as scrap. The electronics revolution had taken WREDAC from being the *dernier cri* in data-processing to the junk heap in less than a decade.

Above: The WRE developed telemetry and doppler converters

John Ovenstone (L), who laid down WRE's requirements for its first automatic data processing facility. Peter Goddard (R), of Maths Services Group, who later became the head of its successor, Computing Services Group.
[Peter Goddard comments on the above telemetry and doppler equipment: “I believe these were analogue to digital converters built by Thonemann and Barlow's men in Lab 11. The equipment was not part of WREDAC itself of course, but was an essential component of the trials data processing schema.”]

Above: Operating the WREDAC punched paper tape equipment. Left to right: Barbara Lane (later Biggins), Bronte Walter (later Morrison), and Robin Fleming. The WREDAC (403) cabinets and original console are on the right.

Part of the WREDAC computing equipment under test
6. WREDAC References: People and Documents in Australia

Below is a list of WREDAC references to people involved in the WREDAC project in Australia, and a list of WREDAC documents.

### WREDAC People

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Who</th>
<th>Why</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>G E Barlow</td>
<td>WRE - paper in 1957 conference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R W Boswell</td>
<td>Acting controller WRE 1957 – paper in 1957 conference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I C Hickfuss</td>
<td>WRE - paper in 1957 conference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J H L Cohen</td>
<td>WRE - paper in 1957 conference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Allen Ovenstone</td>
<td>WREDAC manager</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Allen-Ovenstone</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D L Overheu</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F F Thonemann</td>
<td>WRE - paper in 1957 conference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W C J White</td>
<td>WRE – paper in 1957 conference</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### WREDAC Documents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>By</th>
<th>Where</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TM50</td>
<td>An introduction to programming for automatic digital computers</td>
<td>1955</td>
<td>J Allen-Ovenstone</td>
<td>CSIRO Lindfield serials, JDeane</td>
<td>WREDAC programming manual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Letter</td>
<td>To Jack Bowie, WRE</td>
<td>6 Feb 1956</td>
<td>Barry Cole, Elliott Bros</td>
<td>NAA JDeane</td>
<td>Cover letter for revised drawings etc, refers to the 403 as “Cobber” ! 3pp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Output converter load on alternator</td>
<td>Apr 1956</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>NAA JDeane</td>
<td>Tables of voltages and power consumption. 11pp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The BULL AN71 printer</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>NAA JDeane</td>
<td>Description, drawings &amp; notes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conference proceeding, June 1957 Salisbury S.Aust</td>
<td>Data processing &amp; automatic computing machines</td>
<td>June 1957</td>
<td>various</td>
<td>UNSW Library RQ510.7 B/2, JDeane</td>
<td>Many WREDAC papers (plus CSIRAC, SILLIAC, UTECOM &amp; UK items)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tech Note TRD3 (or TRD-TN-3)</td>
<td>Floating arithmetic calculations on the Weapons Research Establishment Digital Computer</td>
<td>May 1959</td>
<td>JP Penny, D Fenna and JN Weadon</td>
<td>NAA series D4884, JDeane</td>
<td>S/w write-up &amp; listing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L.213.10.3</td>
<td>Fast interpretive routine for floating arithmetic</td>
<td>1959</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>NAA, JDeane</td>
<td>Argument for replacement of WREDAC incl performance info</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
DRAFT | A proposed system to obtain the information displayed by WREDAC in punched tape form | ? | ? | NAA J Deane | 8pp
---|---|---|---|---|---
- | WREDAC logic for AMPEX tape units | ? | ? | NAA J Deane | 8pp
Book | Fire across the desert | Aust Govt Pub | Peter Morton J Deane | History of Woomera includes WREDAC info | etc

Abbrev:
NAA National Archives of Australia
WRE Weapons Research Establishment (Salisbury S.Aust)

Technical drawings from National Archives of Australia
(JDeane's copies are on A3 sheets)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TITLE</th>
<th>SOURCE</th>
<th>DRAWING</th>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>SIZE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Circuit automatic carriage printer</td>
<td>WRE</td>
<td>MSK54</td>
<td>09/10/57A0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Circuit automatic carriage printer</td>
<td>WRE</td>
<td>MSK93</td>
<td>08/05/58A0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clock, Reset, Strobe waveforms</td>
<td>WRE</td>
<td>MSK80</td>
<td>24/02/58A1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clock, Reset, Strobe waveforms</td>
<td>WRE</td>
<td>MSK108</td>
<td>23/06/58A1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Layout of computer room</td>
<td>WRE</td>
<td>MSK56</td>
<td>22/10/57A1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tape unit connection board</td>
<td>WRE</td>
<td>MSK</td>
<td>10/02/57A1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mod. dual paper tape logic</td>
<td>WRE</td>
<td>MSK26</td>
<td>07/01/57A1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnetic tape unit power circuit</td>
<td>WRE</td>
<td>MSK60</td>
<td>26/11/57A1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Block schematic diagram HS magnetic tape store</td>
<td>WRE</td>
<td>MSK94</td>
<td>09/05/58A1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transistor BYT unit</td>
<td>WRE</td>
<td>MSK137</td>
<td>22/12/58A2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power connections and interlock for high speed tape unit (PYE)</td>
<td>Elliott</td>
<td>D358CM</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>A0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number generator</td>
<td>WRE</td>
<td>MSK106</td>
<td>12/06/58A2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Block diagram display</td>
<td>WRE</td>
<td>MSK121</td>
<td>11/09/58A2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnetic o/load relays</td>
<td>WRE</td>
<td>MSK86</td>
<td>11/04/58A2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flying stop</td>
<td>WRE</td>
<td>MSK118</td>
<td>13/08/58A2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suppression logic</td>
<td>WRE</td>
<td>MSK130</td>
<td>03/11/58A1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B line logic</td>
<td>WRE</td>
<td>MSK109</td>
<td>23/09/58A1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Order store order register</td>
<td>WRE</td>
<td>MSK97</td>
<td>11/12/58A1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnetic tape control logic</td>
<td>WRE</td>
<td>MSK75</td>
<td>22/09/58A1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnetic tape reading logic</td>
<td>WRE</td>
<td>MSK74</td>
<td>22/09/58A1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnetic tape writing logic</td>
<td>WRE</td>
<td>MSK73</td>
<td>22/09/58A1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Display No.2 gating</td>
<td>WRE</td>
<td>MSK71</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>A1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Display logic</td>
<td>WRE</td>
<td>MSK70</td>
<td>10/01/58A1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HS store display gating part ‘A’</td>
<td>WRE</td>
<td>MSK68</td>
<td>08/01/58A1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HS store display gating part ‘B’</td>
<td>WRE</td>
<td>MSK69</td>
<td>08/01/58A1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paper tape input &amp; output logic</td>
<td>WRE</td>
<td>MSK100</td>
<td>05/11/58A1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arithmetic unit</td>
<td>WRE</td>
<td>MSK91</td>
<td>05/05/58A1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power circuits power supplies</td>
<td>WRE</td>
<td>MSK50</td>
<td>01/07/57A0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control circuits power supplies</td>
<td>WRE</td>
<td>MSK48</td>
<td>01/07/57A0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instructions for operation and maintenance of magnetic tape storage equipment for use with digital computer</td>
<td>PYE</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>23pp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnetic tape units</td>
<td>WRE</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5pp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GA 1/4” tape head &amp; capstan unit</td>
<td>PYE</td>
<td>Fig.3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>A2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GA 1/4” tape head &amp; capstan unit</td>
<td>PYE</td>
<td>Fig.4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>A2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sub-assy solenoid</td>
<td>PYE</td>
<td>Fig.5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>A3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tape box unit</td>
<td>PYE</td>
<td>Fig.6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>A2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GA 1/4” tape servo unit</td>
<td>PYE</td>
<td>Fig.7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>A2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Servo amplifier for Elliott Bros tape storage equipment</td>
<td>PYE</td>
<td>Fig.8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>A2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wiring diagram for control unit</td>
<td>PYE</td>
<td>Fig.9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>A2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wiring diagram for output unit</td>
<td>PYE</td>
<td>Fig.10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>A2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Manufacturer</td>
<td>Part Number</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Automatic safety unit</td>
<td>PYE</td>
<td>Fig.11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>A2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wiring diagram for safety unit</td>
<td>PYE</td>
<td>Fig.12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>A2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interlock circuits for Elliott Bros tape storage</td>
<td>PYE</td>
<td>Fig.13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>A2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>equipment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shaft</td>
<td>PYE</td>
<td>AHK1252</td>
<td>17/01/55</td>
<td>A2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transistor erase switch</td>
<td>WRE</td>
<td>MSK102</td>
<td>05/08/58</td>
<td>A3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power supplies for BYT units</td>
<td>WRE</td>
<td>MSK138</td>
<td>05/01/59</td>
<td>A2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
NATIONAL-ELLIOTT 405 - SYSTEMS ARCHITECTURE
(Compiled by D.J. Pentecost)

Market
The National-Elliott 405 computer was designed as a machine for conducting commercial data processing, and was aimed at large businesses, including government departments, local authorities and public utilities.

One of the two National-Elliott 405 installations which were at the head office of The National Cash Register Company Ltd., Marylebone Road, London

Layout of a Typical 405 system

The above drawing is stored with sufficient definition to permit enlargement to enable all the writing to be legible. It was copied from "Introduction to techniques used in the National-Elliott 405 computer" published by Elliott Brothers (London) Limited, a copy of which is held in the Science Museum, London.

The following text is taken (except where otherwise indicated) from a 1960 publication by National Cash Register Co. Ltd, called "N.C.R. Electronics - Part II - 405 Programming Manual" which is in the Science Museum Library, bearing the reference COM/1993/32/...
possibly COM/1993/321). The photos and their captions do not come from the same publication.

Main components
A control unit
An arithmetic unit
Working store
Disc or drum store
Magnetic film store
Input and Output mechanisms

Important features of the main components

Control Unit. Contains the logical units and circuitry enabling the computer to translate and obey a program correctly. The most important part of this unit contains two registers, each holding one 32-bit word, which are the Order Register, and the Sequence Control Register. All words in the machines are of 32 bits in length.

Arithmetic Unit. Contains further logic to carry out the basic arithmetic operations of the computer and some one-word registers, the most important of which is the Accumulator.

Working Store. This is a temporary store and may be divided into two parts:

(i) Quick Access Store. Consists of at least 20 16-word nickel delay lines, and up to a maximum of 32 such lines, giving a maximum of 512 words. Below is a photo of one of these delay lines.

![16-word nickel delay line. Photo by Max Burnet, of Burnet Antique Computer Knowhow, Sydney, who at the time of writing (2006) has the delay line. There is also a delay line in the Science Museum, London, under reference 1972-451.](image)

Note by Harry Lawrence (at Elliotts in the early 1960s): "The circular band that you see carries the supports that have the nickel line stretched around. [The red arrow points to the nickel wire windings, which can more clearly be seen on enlargement of the photo]. The delay lines were not very robust, and were a triumph of hope over science. I believe there was only one man in the world who had the know-how to wind them without kinks and upon their flimsy supports, so as to not obtain ghost shadows and so on, that killed the security of the information that flew around the whole circuit about 600 times a second".

(ii) Immediate Access Store (IAS). Consists of either 4 or 20 one-word nickel delay lines, one of which is called the Number Generator. If there are 20 words of IAS, then the quick access store has one of its 16-word delay lines replaced by 16 of these 1-word delay lines. Below is a photo of a single word nickel delay line.
Single-word nickel delay line (Science Museum, London)

Further note by Harry Lawrence: "The nickel line ran within the handle and was of much thicker material than that used in the 16-word lines, and did not need the same supports. These units were robust and rarely gave trouble."

**Drum Store.** One of the permanent forms of storage: the magnetized surface of the drum holds 32 information tracks, each track containing 128 words. The capacity of the drum is therefore 4096 words. Information is transferred from the drum to the working store in units of 64 words or half-tracks, termed "sectors".

**Disc Store.** The disc is a larger form of permanent storage than the drum, and may be small or large in size. A small sized disc holds 64 information tracks, each containing 4 sectors or 256 words, while a large sized disc holds 128 such tracks.

The capacity of the small sized disc is therefore 16,384 words, while that of the large sized one is 32,768 words. As with the drum, information is transferred to the working store in units of 64 words, or sectors, which in the case of the disc are quarter tracks. In the quick access store, a sector of information will occupy four 16-word delay lines and two sets of these lines are reserved in the working store for the passage of information to or from the drum or disc.
Magnetic Film Store. This is the largest form of permanent storage in the 405, and consists of a number of 1,000 ft. 35mm reels of magnetic film, each of which can be held on a film mechanism. Any particular reel of film can be removed manually from its mechanism and replaced by another reel, this allowing a considerable extension of permanent storage capacity.

Information is stored on the film in units of 64 words or "blocks" and one reel of film is capable of holding about 300,000 words or nearly 4,700 blocks.

The film mechanisms are controlled by a number of "master" units, and a particular 405 may possess one, two, three of four such "masters"; each such master unit can control at least two and at most sixteen film mechanisms.

Information is transferred between a film and the working store one block at a time, and this will occupy four 16-word nickel delay lines in the quick access store, and four lines are reserved in the working store for each master film unit. Thus only one mechanism on a particular master may be used at any one time.

Input Mechanisms. There are two forms of input on the 405: paper tape or punched cards. 5-channel paper tape is used in conjunction with a tape reader capable of reading the tape at about 180 characters per second, while the card reader reads the cards at a rate of 600 cards per minute, and each card can contain up to 80 columns. Input may take place directly into the Accumulator, or in conjunction with an Input Compiler which converts sets of decimal characters into the appropriate binary form for internal storage.
Output Mechanisms. There are three forms of output on the 405: paper tape, directly connected typewriter, or magnetic film.

The first two forms of output take place at the rate of about 25 characters per second, each character having five binary digits. Such output may take place directly from the accumulator or via an Output Compiler which performs the conversion from binary to decimal or alphanumeric form.

The magnetic film output must be used in conjunction with an Output Compiler, and characters are written on the film at a rate of 300 five-(binary)digit characters per second. A 1,000 ft. reel of output film has 8 information tracks which are written on one at a time, four tracks being associated with one direction of motion of the film mechanism. After output, a particular reel of film is removed from the computer and used to drive four paper tape punches, each of which converts a track into tape capable of subsequently feeding an electric typewriter or a teleprinter.

There can be up to 8 input channels and 8 output channels on a particular 405.
It seems that the above manual extract may have been written before a much faster form of output became possible. A special external unit called a MUFPT(?) was developed which embodied a film mechanism, and was able to read data from a reel of magnetic film, and print it to a directly connected 80(?)-column line-at-a-time printer. Data written to such film was transferred at 1,800 characters per second, with a recording time of 13 minutes per full reel.

The 405 Console
One important element is not mentioned in the above text, and that is the primary human interface to the computer - the console. A photo of the console which can be seen on display at the Powerhouse Museum, Sydney, Australia, is shown below; the photo can be enlarged to see more detail.

The arrangement of some of the lights on the console panel for the 1-code orders varied slightly from machine to machine, depending on the input and output hardware configured on the particular machine; and the group of 5 lights three rows above the 32 dark black number generator keys, was on some machines a set of only three lights, coloured from left to right, yellow, red, blue, representing the 3-beat cycle of the computer.

At the bottom left and bottom right, are two panels which were normally closed behind small doors, and were for use mostly by engineers.
Beneath the clock, is one of the two emergency power off buttons; the other is in the corresponding position on the right of the console panel, under the loud speaker; shown to the right of the speaker, although it was in fact engineered to the speaker's left, is the speaker's volume control knob. Audible versions of the some of the computer's central processing systems were relayed to the speaker, to assist the operators, who could become familiar with the noise generated by normal operation of some programs; anything abnormal happening, could often be detected first, by an unusual sound pattern coming from the speaker.

Above: The left and right engineering sub-panels of the console © Powerhouse Museum, reproduced with permission.

405 film clip
In 1963, a British science fiction television series for children, called Space Patrol, was made by Roberta Leigh. It consisted mostly of animated cartoons, but also included some real film clips. One episode called The Wandering Asteroid contained about 35 seconds' worth of film of a few parts of a 405. Permission has been granted by the copyright owners, Network DVD to reproduce the 405 extract, and all rights thereto are reserved by Network DVD.

In sequence, are shown the following 405 items:

1. Part of the 405 console showing most of the display lamps.
2. Output paper tape being fed into a Creed reader.
3. A close-up view of the top left bank of lamps.
4. A Ferranti tape reader reading paper tape into the computer.
5. An engineer's oscilloscope, possibly attached to part of the computer.
6. Two magnetic film units in operation - one probably reading, the other probably writing.
7. The bottom left sub-panel of the console, normally hidden behind a small closed door, showing (abnormally, for dramatic effect) wildly oscillating voltage readings - in normal operation the needle would be almost static, pointing to the Normal position on the dial.
8. Zooming-in to a printout being produced on a Creed printer, probably directly attached to the 405.

The film clip can be viewed by using the following link:-


Please be aware that the clip occupies about 6mb of disc space, and may take about 45 seconds to download, for a medium-speed broadband connection.